

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,185	03/02/2004	Dave Alan Heisley	TI-33886.1	4897
23494	7590 07/28/2004		EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			STOCK JR, GORDON J	
P O BOX 65 DALLAS, 7	5474, M/S 3999 FX 75265	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/792,185	HEISLEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gordon J Stock	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 July 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
, ==	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 18-30 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or subject to restriction and/or subject to restriction.	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 March 2004</u> is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) dobjected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	, <b>.</b>	(070, 440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/792,185 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Drawings and Specification

- 1. The drawings and specification are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR
  1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description:
  511 and 512 of Fig. 5; 610 of Fig. 6; 710 of Fig. 7. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 320 of Fig. 3; 700 of Fig. 7. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### **Double Patenting**

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,185 Page 3

Art Unit: 2877

5. Claims 18-30 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 18-30 of copending Application No. 10/164445 (which in action of March 25, 2004, of Application No. 10/164445 the Examiner withdrew the restriction requirement and examined the entire application comprising claims 1-30). This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 18, 21-25, 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norman et al. (5,294,975) in view of the applicant's disclosure of prior related art.

As for claims 18, 21-25, 28-30, Norman discloses a wafer configured to be scanned by a beam wherein said wafer comprises an alignment target comprising: a reflective member; a non-reflective field proximate to said reflective member comprising a scattering structure thereby enhancing contrast; scattering structure comprises at least one edge slope; edge slope is formed by boundaries between poly and no poly areas; a checkerboard pattern; a reflective member comprises a metal (Figs. 2 and 3; col. 2, lines 15-65); shining a beam of light onto a wafer; reflecting a portion of said beam of light from a reflective member; reflecting a reduced portion of said beam of light from a non-reflective field; scattering a portion of beam; identifying a current position based on contrast; adjusting the position of said wafer based on information (col.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,185

order to gather light intensity for position information.

Art Unit: 2877

1, lines 45-65; col. 3, lines 5-26). As for a photodetector, Norman is silent. However, Norman suggests there is a photodetector for light intensity is measured (Fig. 4). Applicant's disclosure of background art teaches the use of a photodetector to gather light intensity for position information (page 1: paragraphs 1 and 2 of applicant's disclosure). Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to have the method and apparatus comprise a photodetector in

Page 4

8. Claims 19, 20, 26, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norman et al. (5,294,975) in view of the applicant's disclosure of prior related art further in view of Okada et al. (5,777,792)

As for claims 19, 20, 26, and 27, Norman in view of applicant's disclosure of prior art discloses everything as above (see claims 18 and 25). As for the non-reflective, scattering field, Norman is silent concerning an absorptive material, but he suggests it for the grid pattern appears dark (col. 1, lines 50-51) with maximized contrast (col. 3, lines 33-35). However, Okada teaches that polycrystalline materials for semiconductors are highly absorptive (col. 2, lines 45-55). Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art that the non-reflective field comprises an absorptive material for polycrystalline materials are highly light absorbing.

#### Fax/Telephone Numbers

If the applicant wishes to send a fax dealing with either a proposed amendment or a discussion with a phone interview, then the fax should:

- 1) Contain either a statement "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED AMENDMENT" on the fax cover sheet; and
  - 2) Should be unsigned by the attorney or agent.

Art Unit: 2877

This will ensure that it will not be entered into the case and will be forwarded to the examiner as quickly as possible.

Papers related to the application may be submitted to Group 2800 by Fax transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO Fax machine located in Crystal Plaza 4. The form of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CP4 Fax Machine number is: (703) 872-9306

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gordon J. Stock whose telephone number is (571) 272-2431. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00 a.m. - 6:30 p.m.

gs July 19, 2004 Zandra V. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877